

TOWN OF GREAT BARRINGTON

SELECTBOARD'S MEETING

MONDAY, JULY 13, 2015

7:00 PM – REGULAR SESSION

TOWN HALL, 334 MAIN STREET

ORDER OF AGENDA

Present: Sean Stanton, Ed Abrahams, Dan Bailly, Bill Cooke, and Steve Bannon

1. CALL TO ORDER. Chair called meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

June 22, 2015 Regular Meeting. S. Bannon motion to accept with amendment, D. Bailly second, to add Mercer Clock donation and maintenance. #5 National Grid License 401 should have been 410 Passed 4-0.

June 29, 2015 Special Meeting. S. Bannon motion to accept minutes, E. Abrahams second, passed 5-0.

3. SELECTBOARD'S ANNOUNCEMENTS/STATEMENTS:

A. GENERAL COMMENTS BY THE BOARD. No comments

4. TOWN MANAGER'S REPORT: DOR Tax Workshop meeting has been tentatively scheduled for July 23rd or July 27th. DOR will not be in attendance. Also Bill Cooke was thanked for volunteering as the town's Web Designer.

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

A. VENTAS REALTY, LP, 10350 ORMSBY PARK PLACE, LOUISVILLE, KY, FOR OPERATION OF A NURSING HOME (KINDRED NURSING AND REHABILITATION) IN AN R2 ZONE AT 148 MAPLE AVENUE AND FOR DEVIATION FROM PARKING REQUIREMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTIONS 3.1.4 B (10), 6.1.9 AND 10.4 OF THE GREAT BARRINGTON ZONING BYLAW. THE EXISTING FACILITY IS PROPOSED TO CONTINUE IN OPERATION WITH NO CHANGES, BUT IT REQUIRES A SPECIAL PERMIT TO BE COMPLIANT WITH THE ZONING BYLAW.

a. Open Public Hearing: S. Bannon motion to open the public hearing, E. Abrahams second, unanimous vote 5-0.

b. Explanation of Project: Location has been a nursing home since 1962. It is in a residential district, no alternations, requesting a special permit to be in compliant. There are 50 parking spots which is adequate.

c. Speak in Favor/Opposition: Royce Johnson lives across the street from the nursing home. One concern he has is about rain and washing out sidewalk. Drainage issue and does not want parking lot increased. Application does not add parking spots the nursing home wants to be in compliance.

d. Motion E. Abrahams to close the Public Hearing. S. Bannon second, unanimous vote 5-0.

EXHIBIT A: FINDINGS OF FACT

Re: Special Permit #841-15, A and #841-15, B

Applicant(s): Ventas Realty LP

Site: 148 Maple Avenue, Great Barrington

A. Introduction

The Special Permit application was filed on June 12, 2015 by Ventas Realty, LP, Owner of 148 Maple Avenue, Kindred Nursing and Rehabilitation at 148 Maple Avenue (Route 23). The applicant seeks special permits from the Selectboard to:

841-15, A: deviate from parking requirements in accordance with Sections 6.1.9 and 10.4 of the Zoning Bylaw, and

841-15, B: operate a nursing home in an R2 zone in accordance with Sections 3.1.4 B(10) and 10.4 of the Zoning Bylaw.

Materials submitted with the application include:

- Cover letter from dated June 9, 2015 from Peter L. Puciloski, Attorney for the Owner/Applicant;
- Site plan dated May 8, 2015 prepared by White Engineering Inc.;
- Building plans dated 1974 prepared by EnviroDesign Inc.

B. General Findings

Since at least 1960, the zoning bylaw has required a Special Permit for a nursing home use. Since this facility does not have a Special Permit, it is considered a nonconforming use. The application simply seeks the special permit in order to bring the use into conformance with the zoning bylaw.

The site is approximately 4.1 acres in size. The front half of the lot is flat and is developed with the existing building and the parking area and lawns. The rear portion of the lot is wooded and rises steeply up the hill. The building itself is three floors with an approximately 10,500 square foot footprint, making the facility approximately 32,000 square feet in total floor area. The total developed area of the site, including the building, parking lot, and roadways, is about 95,000 square feet, or 53% of the total lot area. However, the percentage of the lot covered by buildings is only 6.2 percent.

There are no proposed changes to the building or the site. There are 88 beds and a maximum shift of 40 employees. This makes the parking requirement 56 spaces according to Section 6.1 of the Zoning Bylaw, but there are only 50 spaces provided on site.

The proposed project has received positive recommendations from all reviewing boards and commissions. The Conservation Commission noted that a very small portion of the rear of the lot is mapped as a Scenic Mountains Area.

Approximately 50% of the lot is within a Zone II of the Water Quality Protection District. However, since there are no site changes or changes to the impervious surface coverage of the lot, the regulations of Section 9.2 of the Zoning Bylaw do not apply here. On the other hand, if the facility were required to enlarge the parking lot in order to meet the parking requirements of the Bylaw, the increase in impervious surface would trigger the Water Quality regulations.

C. Parking Waiver Criteria and Specific Findings

Applicable to 841-15, A

Section 6.1.9 of the Zoning Bylaw allows the Special Permit Granting Authority to waive the parking requirements of Section 6.1, in accord with a finding from the Planning Board. The Planning Board at its meeting of June 25, 2015 discussed the requested parking waiver and found that a waiver is appropriate.

Findings: The Selectboard finds that the parking needs of the facility are adequately met with the number of existing parking spaces. There is no need to expand the parking lot to meet the minimum parking requirements of the bylaw. The 50 existing parking spaces must be retained.

D. Special Permit Criteria and Specific Findings

Applicable to 841-15, A and 841-15, B

§10.4.2 of the Zoning Bylaw, granting of a special permit requires a written determination by the Special Permit Granting Authority “that the adverse effects of the proposed use will not outweigh its beneficial impacts to the town or the neighborhood, in view of the particular characteristics of the site, and of the proposal in relation to that site.” This determination shall include consideration of the following six criteria:

1. Social, economic, or community needs which are served by the proposal;
2. Traffic flow and safety, including parking and loading;
3. Adequacy of utilities and other public services;
4. Neighborhood character and social structures;
5. Impacts on the natural environment; and,
6. Potential fiscal impact, including impact on town services, tax base, and employment.

Consideration of the Criteria in relation to SP #841-15 A and B:

Per §10.4.2 of the Zoning Bylaw, granting of any special permit requires a determination by the Special Permit Granting Authority “that the adverse effects of the proposed use will not outweigh its beneficial impacts to the town or the neighborhood, in view of the particular characteristics of the site, and of the proposal in relation to that site.” The six criteria and the Board’s considerations in relation each are detailed below:

1. Social, economic, or community needs which are served by the proposal.

Finding 1: The proposal serves the community’s needs by providing needed health care and rehabilitation services and employment. Use of an existing developed site to provide community services and employment is in harmony with the Master Plan.

2. Traffic flow and safety, including parking and loading.

Finding 2: There is no traffic or parking issue with this facility currently and traffic flow into and out of the site will not change with this proposal. There is no need to provide more parking than already exists.

3. Adequacy of utilities and other public services.

Finding 3: There is adequate water and sewer service for this use. There are no utility or other public service issues.

- 4. Neighborhood character and social structures.

Finding 4: There are no issues currently, and there are no changes proposed.

- 5. Impacts on the natural environment.

Finding 5: There are no issues currently, and there are no changes proposed.

- 6. Potential fiscal impact, including impact on town services, tax base, and employment.

Finding 6: The nursing home pays taxes, provides health services, and has up to 40 employees at the maximum shift. The fiscal impact is positive.

Section 10.4 Finding:

In consideration of the above Findings, this Board finds that the benefits of the proposal outweigh any possible detrimental impacts.

E. Proposed Conditions: None

SP # 841-15, A and B

Ventas Realty LP, Kindred Nursing and Rehabilitation, 148 Maple Avenue

Motion: S. Bannon to approve the Findings of Fact for Special Permit #841-15 as submitted and referenced as Exhibit A.

Second: D. Bailly

Roll call vote:	Cooke	yes	Abrahams	yes
	Bailly	yes	Bannon	yes
	Stanton	yes		

VOTE: 5-0

Motion passed

VOTE ON PARKING WAIVER SPECIAL PERMIT

Motion: In view of the approved Findings of Fact, S. Bannon move to approve Special Permit #841-15, A for Ventas Realty LP for a deviation from the parking requirements at 148 Maple Avenue per Sections 6.1.9 and 10.4 of the Zoning Bylaw. The 50 existing parking spaces must be retained.

Second: S. Bailly

Roll call vote:	Cooke	yes	Abrahams	yes
------------------------	-------	-----	----------	-----

Bailly	yes	Bannon	yes
Stanton	yes		

VOTE: 5-0
Motion Passed

VOTE ON NURSING HOME USE SPECIAL PERMIT

Motion: S. Bannon in view of the approved Findings of Fact, move to approve Special Permit #841-15, B for Ventas Realty LP for the operation of a nursing home in an R2 zone at 148 Maple Avenue, in accordance with Sections 3.1.4 B(10) and 10.4 of the Great Barrington Zoning Bylaw.

Second: S. Bailly

Roll call vote:	Cooke	yes	Abrahams	yes
	Bailly	yes	Bannon	yes
	Stanton	yes		

- B. TIMBERLYN REALTY, LLC, 320 MAPLE AVENUE, GREAT BARRINGTON, MA FOR THE OPERATION OF A NURSING HOME (TIMBERLYN HEIGHTS REHABILITATION AND CARE CENTER) IN AN R2 ZONE AT 320 MAPLE AVENUE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTIONS 3.1.4 B (10), AND 10.4 OF THE GREAT BARRINGTON ZONING BYLAW. THE EXISTING FACILITY IS PROPOSED TO CONTINUE IN OPERATION WITH NO CHANGES, BUT IT REQUIRES A SPECIAL PERMIT TO BE COMPLIANT WITH THE ZONING BYLAW.
- a. Open Public Hearing-S. Bannon motion, E. Abrahams second, unanimous vote 5-0
 - b. Explanation of Project: No changes
 - c. Speak in Favor/Opposition: no opposition
 - d. Motion to Close Public Hearing-S. Bannon motion, E. Abrahams second, unanimous vote 5-0
 - e. Motion re: Findings-request nursing home in R2 zone
 - f. Motion re: Approval/Denial/Table Approval 5-0

EXHIBIT A: FINDINGS OF FACT

Re: Special Permit #839-15
Applicant(s): Timberlyn Realty LLC
Site: 320 Maple Avenue, Great Barrington

F. Introduction

The Special Permit application was filed on May 22, 2015 by Timberlyn Realty, LLC, owners of Timberlyn Heights Rehabilitation and Care Center at 320 Maple Avenue (Route 23). The applicant seeks a permit to operate a nursing home in an R2 zone, in accordance with Sections 3.1.4 B(10) and 10.4 of the Zoning Bylaw.

Materials submitted with the application include:

- Cover letter from dated May 19, 2015 from George Mercier, Timberlyn Heights Executive Director;
- Site survey plans dated November 24, 2014 prepared by Millman National Land

- Services, Canton, OH (two sheets);
- Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment dated April 5, 2002, prepared by GeoTrans Inc., Ann Arbor, MI.

G. General Findings

Since at least 1960, the zoning bylaw has required a Special Permit for a nursing home use. Since this facility does not have a Special Permit, it is considered a nonconforming use. The application simply seeks the permit in order to bring the use into conformance with the zoning bylaw.

The site is approximately 24.8 acres in size, rising steeply up from Maple Avenue to the western slopes of Berkshire Heights. The building itself is three stories tall with an approximately 10,000 square foot footprint, making the facility approximately 30,000 square feet in total floor area. The total developed area of the site, including the building, parking lot, and roadways, is about 100,000 square feet, or 9% of the total lot area.

There are no proposed changes to the building or the site. There are 71 beds and a maximum of 36 employees, making the parking requirement 48 spaces. There are 56 spaces provided on site.

The facility is served by public water and sewer.

The proposed project has received positive recommendations from all reviewing boards and commissions. The Conservation Commission noted that areas of the site are within mapped endangered species areas, and most of the site is within a mapped Scenic Mountains Area. It is noted that, while there is no proposed clearing, timber harvesting, or any expansion of the building or roadways, these activities, if contemplated in the future, would require filing for permits from Natural Heritage Endangered Species Program and the Conservation Commission.

It is also noted that the site is mapped as a site in the Wireless Telecommunication Overlay District, Section 9.3 of the Zoning Bylaw. This designation does not apply to this application.

H. Special Permit Criteria and Specific Findings

§10.4.2 of the Zoning Bylaw, granting of a special permit requires a written determination by the Special Permit Granting Authority “that the adverse effects of the proposed use will not outweigh its beneficial impacts to the town or the neighborhood, in view of the particular characteristics of the site, and of the proposal in relation to that site.” This determination shall include consideration of the following six criteria:

7. Social, economic, or community needs which are served by the proposal;
8. Traffic flow and safety, including parking and loading;
9. Adequacy of utilities and other public services;
10. Neighborhood character and social structures;
11. Impacts on the natural environment; and,
12. Potential fiscal impact, including impact on town services, tax base, and employment.

Consideration of the Criteria in relation to SP #839-15:

Per §10.4.2 of the Zoning Bylaw, granting of any special permit requires a determination by the Special Permit Granting Authority “that the adverse effects of the proposed use will not outweigh its beneficial impacts to the town or the neighborhood, in view of the particular characteristics of the site, and of the proposal in relation to that site.” The six criteria and the Board’s considerations in relation each are detailed below:

7. Social, economic, or community needs which are served by the proposal.

Finding 1: The proposal serves the community’s needs by providing needed health care and rehabilitation services and employment. Use of an existing developed site to provide community services and employment is in harmony with the Master Plan.

8. Traffic flow and safety, including parking and loading.

Finding 2: There is no traffic or parking issue with this facility currently and traffic flow into and out of the site will not change with this proposal. Adequate parking is provided on site.

9. Adequacy of utilities and other public services.

Finding 3: The facility is served by the water and the sewer system which have adequate capacity. There are no utility or public service issues.

10. Neighborhood character and social structures.

Finding 4: There are no issues currently, and there are no changes proposed.

11. Impacts on the natural environment.

Finding 5: There are no issues currently, and there are no changes proposed.

12. Potential fiscal impact, including impact on town services, tax base, and employment.

Finding 6: The nursing home pays taxes, provides health services, and has up to 36 employees at the maximum shift. The fiscal impact is positive.

Section 10.4 Finding:

In consideration of the above Findings, this Board finds that the benefits of the proposal outweigh any possible detrimental impacts.

I. Proposed Conditions: None

SP # 839-15

Timberlyn Heights Nursing

VOTE ON FINDINGS

Motion: S. Bannon move to approve the Findings of Fact for Special Permit #839-15 as submitted and referenced as Exhibit A.

Second: D. Bailly

Roll call vote:	Cooke	yes	Abrahams	yes
	Bailly	yes	Bannon	yes
	Stanton	yes		

VOTE: 5-0
Motion passed

VOTE ON SPECIAL PERMIT

Motion: S. Bannon in view of the approved Findings of Fact, move to approve Special Permit #839-15 for Timberlyn Realty, LLC for the operation of a nursing home in an R2 zone at 320 Maple Avenue, in accordance with Sections 3.1.4 B(10) and 10.4 of the Great Barrington Zoning Bylaw.

Second: D. Bailly

Roll call vote:	Cooke	yes	Abrahams	yes
	Bailly	yes	Bannon	yes
	Stanton	yes		

VOTE: 5-0
Motion passed

6. LICENSES OR PERMITS:

- A. BETSY ANDRUS/SOUTHERN BERKSHIRE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE FOR PERMISSION TO HOLD THE 2ND GB DIG N’ DINE DINNER ON WEDNESDAY, JULY 29, 2015 FROM 6:00 PM- 8:00 PM ON MAIN STREET. (DISCUSSION/VOTE)
No discussion, S. Bannon motion to approve, E. Abrahams second, unanimous vote to approve, 5-0
- B. BETSY ANDRUS/SOUTHERN BERKSHIRE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE FOR ONE DAY BEER AND WINE LIQUOR LICENSE FOR THE 2ND GB DIG N’ DINE DINNER ON WEDNESDAY, JULY 29, 2015 FROM 6:00 PM- 8:00 PM ON MAIN STREET. (DISCUSSION/VOTE) Time has been changed from 5:30 p.m. – 7:30 p.m. S. Bannon motion to Approve, D. Bailly second, unanimous vote to approve 5-0.
- C. POOJA PREMA/ROGUE ANGEL THEATRE FOR PERMISSION TO HOLD A PARADE AND FOR A PARTIAL STREET CLOSURE OF CASTLE, BRIDGE AND SCHOOL STREETS ON SATURDAY, JULY 18, 2015 (RAIN DATE: JULY 25TH) STARTING AT 10:30 AM. (DISCUSSION/VOTE) S. Bannon motion to approve with the stipulation that the Town Manager will work with parties involved so the David Grover concert will not be disrupted, D. Bailly second, unanimous vote to approve 5-0
- D. MARK DE CELLE/GREAT BARRINGTON ROTARY/GB AIRPORT FOR TEMPORARY WEEKDAY ENTERTAINMENT LICENSE FOR AUGUST 15, 2015 FROM 10:00 AM - 4:00 PM FOR FLY-IN AT THE GREAT BARRINGTON AIRPORT, 70 EGREMONT PLAIN ROAD.

(DISCUSSION/VOTE) Time changed from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., S. Bannon disclosed that he is a member of the Rotary Club. S. Bannon motion to approve, E. Abrahams second, unanimous vote to approve 5-0

- E. MARK DE CELLE/ GREAT BARRINGTON ROTARY/GB AIRPORT FOR TEMPORARY SUNDAY ENTERTAINMENT LICENSE FOR AUGUST 16, 2015 (RAIN DATE) FROM 10:00 AM - 4:00 PM FOR FLY-IN AT THE GREAT BARRINGTON AIRPORT, 70 EGREMONT PLAIN ROAD. (DISCUSSION/VOTE) Rain Date time changed from 9:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m., S. Bannon motion to approve, E. Abrahams second, unanimous vote to approve, 5-0

7. OLD BUSINESS:

A. **CONTINUATION:** TACONIC AVENUE/ALFORD ROAD PROJECT – OVERVIEW: Town Manager gave a power point presentation to answer questions from last meeting. She began with the Town's Capital Program. Proposal for roads repairs are Lake Mansfield Road, Taconic and Alford Roads, which were approved at Town Meeting. Town Manager stressed the difference between repaving and reconstruction. Repaving does not require a design engineer. Goal of Capital Program is to maintain and pave a certain number of miles every year so all roads in town are maintained. Very large projects like Main Street which was a reconstruction project require design engineer, traffic impact and process for design funding. Paving project is bottom of Taconic Avenue to Alford Road and should begin in Fiscal 2016 if approved. This project is divided in three parts. Castle Street to Castle Hill Avenue Mill/Asphalt, Taconic Ave/Alford Road Hot Mix Asphalt overlay, finally Seekonk to Alford line Cold in Place and Asphalt paving. Ways to address speeding issues on Castle Hill, stop signs, grass island which would be developed to reduce speed on Castle Street. Takes 2 way road and narrows to a one way road with a 90 degree turn. One way to slow traffic speeding down Castle Street would be an island then take a 90 degree turn. Vehicles would have to stop and take a 90 degree turn. Alford Road would be completed first. Fire District is upgrading water line on Taconic Avenue with 8 inch main water pipe also utility coordination and this section upgrade on Taconic Avenue would be paved last. Town Manager would hope the Selectboard takes priority and gives direction in paving projects. J. Sokul mentioned several catch basins need to be replaced, dynamic speed signs, deal with two intersections and cross walks for pedestrian safety. The amount of money voted on at town meeting for roads does have flexibility on roads in distress. May switch paving around so that streets in top priority are getting fixed. Discussion from audience regarding speeding on Taconic Avenue densely populated, houses close to the road. Town Manager from a financial standpoint recommended bidding 3 projects as one large project to get better prices. Project will begin spring of 2016. J. Sokul consider posting signs with 25 mph and radar controlled area. Member of audience mentioned that Division Street is atrocious and is hopeful her road will be done first. The potholes are so bad that she has to travel on wrong side of road. A resident on Taconic Street discussed speeding on her road and how she advocates having road repaved and try to minimize speeding by signs and new road design to minimize speeding. Town Manager can put together a package for capital projects for instance: seeking design funds, traffic engineer and landscape engineer for street calming measures for pedestrian safety. There are options and patching is a less expensive way to repair bad sections of roads. S. Bannon suggested continue with Taconic Avenue/ Alford Road project and Town Manager will continue to work on town wide road issues, D. Bailly second, this is step one paving needs to get done, crosswalks and intersections need to be done at a later time. We will rely on the expertise of professionals, DPW Superintendent, Town Manager and Mass DOT to assist in this project. J. Sokul will bid one project with Taconic as first project. S. Bannon to withdraw motion. S. Bannon made a motion to continue Taconic as outlined by J. Sokul so that Taconic Avenue starting at St. James place will begin first and the Town Manager will work on town wide road projects. D. Bailly second, unanimous vote 5-0

8. NEW BUSINESS:

A. ANANDA TIMPANE/RSYP RE: SUBSTANCE ABUSE GRANT. (DISCUSSION/VOTE) Requesting the Town be a participant and partner with the municipality. South County Health Coalition. Staff participation to assist with grant. S. Bannon motion to participate, D. Bailly second unanimous vote to approve, 5-0

B. SB – APPOINTMENT OF AN ALTERNATE MEMBER TO THE HISTORIC DISTRICT

COMMISSION. (DISCUSSION/VOTE) S. Bannon motion to appoint Abby Schroeder as an alternate member to the Historic District Commission, D. Bailly second, unanimous vote to approve, 5-0

C. ABBY SCHROEDER – PERMISSION FOR BURIAL ON PRIVATE PROPERTY.

(DISCUSSION/VOTE) Town Manager wanted clarification on parcel for the burial. A. Schroeder's husband passed away in 2009 and he was buried in town cemetery. A. Schroeder would like to create a family cemetery on her property and have her husband buried in family cemetery. Her plan is to deed land to town and keep as a family plot. She owns several plots in the town cemetery and would donate plots back to town. A. Schroeder was concerned that the general population would be in cemetery which held up approval. She also stated that the cemetery would be consecrated as a Jewish Cemetery. Town Manager stated that if A. Schroeder goes forward with the deed transfer, town needs deed in hand and a closing with the title to property, specifications then follow same process and part of town cemetery, which is what town meeting has approved. If it is a private cemetery then A. Schroeder needs to go back to town meeting. Planning board has signed off on plan so the next step is to contact a lawyer, and specify conditions, to accept and maintain family plot. A. Schroeder will contact her lawyer and draw up a deed with stipulations. Town Manager would like a Selectboard vote for the Town Manager to work with Town Counsel to assure wording is accurate. S. Bannon motion to accept proposal, E. Abrahams second, unanimous vote 5-0

**D. SB – RECOMMENDATION TO ZBA ON COMPREHENSIVE PERMIT APPLICATION
"STATE ROAD AFFORDABLE HOUSING", SUBMITTED BY CONSTRUCT, INC.**

FOR PROPERTY AT 316 STATE ROAD FOR 11 AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS.

(DISCUSSION/VOTE) Presentation by development consultant was made with sketches of units and explanation of why they layout best fit on the lot. 40B permit State statute which needs to go before Zoning Board of Appeals. A recommendation from the Selectboard before the plan goes to ZBA is requested. There are 11 units 4 one bedroom units, 2- three bedroom units, and 5 two bedroom units in 3 buildings. Farm style buildings will conform to the neighborhood. These units will meet the needs of our population and will be permanent affordable housing. Affordability which will be median income based units and based on people's income. Construct Inc. owns and manages over 75 units and the waiting list is 365% over their capacity. They are working with Fire Chief for access to hydrant. Drainage not a problem, snow storage area is determined, there will be two sewer man holes and connect to town sewer. Sean questioned placement of building, although type of building fits very nicely. A lot of research was done on placement because of solar reasons. South Southwest for sun, from the street looking at back of buildings which is identical to front of building. S. Bannon made a motion to send a favorable recommendation to the ZBA for the Construct, Inc. 40B Comprehensive Permit for affordable housing units at 316 State Road for 11 affordable housing units, D. Bailly second. Unanimous vote 5-0.


9. CITIZEN SPEAK TIME: Miss Condon expressed concerns regarding bridge onto Main St. How long before it is repaired? Town Manager assured her that issues are being taken care of.

10. SELECTBOARD'S TIME: No comment

11. MEDIA TIME: Citizen was concerned about the length of time limits on Library computers. Board advised to bring to the attention of the Library Trustees.

12. ADJOURNMENT: S. Bannon made motion to adjourn at 9:45 p.m., E. Abrahams second, unanimous vote 5-0.

Respectfully submitted,



Terry Walker
Recording Secretary